

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/986,248	11/08/2001	William Russell Belknap	SVL920010059US	5036	
23373 0429/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	L	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/986,248	BELKNAP ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant must timely file one of the following re- application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal	e same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this biles: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request R 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
a) The period for reply expires	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A prier in compile filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a n the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	deration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a core.	form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for responding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	rable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will be entered and an explanation of ad below or appended.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,7-10, 13-15, 19-23, 25-29, 31, 32 and 34 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>36</u> .
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b	efore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a solution. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the solution of the s	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a nd was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	d but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance
The amendment has overcome the 112 rejection. With rec teachings of Halpern and AAPA, to this the Examiner subn	and to the Applicant arguing the motivation to combine Jones with the hits that both systems request content from the server and receiving is art), Jones just further teaches requests being to initiate and
terminate a session, along with data requests. One would It as distinct times where a session is established helps to m 21). Applicant further argues the teaching of "the order in the client may be different from the order of requests initiat "responses from the server are returned in a order different relied upon for the teaching of "packing". With regard to th	be motivated to combine this teaching with that of Halpern and AAPA aintain network security (see column 2, lines of through column 3, line which each of these individual responses is separately transmitted to ab by the client, to this the Examiner submits that Jones teaches from the order of the requests initiated by the client, where AAPA is e Applicants' arquing that there is no request in Feliman, the
Examiner submits that Feinman is not relied upon for this t data. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P1	eaching but only for the teaching of pre-packaged (no need to request) O/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:	